

October FGC meeting – Debrief Notes

Prepared by Erin Chappell

October 21, 2016

Internal Debrief Notes:

- Binder Prep
 - Liked having the initial reviews on background sections
 - Exhibit review was manageable but found I needed to do two reviews since there were quite a few exhibits and staff summaries that were not ready for review on Monday morning. As review process streamlines that may self-correct but until then follow up review may be necessary. Will note that some things were still missed and caught by Sherrie – primarily redactions and email addresses. Interested in feedback from Sherrie and Caren if it helped with compilation of binder.
- Staff Summaries
 - Emergency Regulations guidelines – motions in particular need to be updated !!
 - Format on regulatory citations in motions (and summary)
 - TEMPLATE:
 - For Jon: can he make the “Today’s Item” whole line bold?
 - For Susan: Check guidelines doc to verify the bolding is in there.
 - **Italics of staff author name?**
- Meeting prep
 - Got what I needed from DFW on time
 - Still need to work out the coordination issues with the regulatory/non-regulatory request reviews and recommendations
- Pre-adopts:
 - **Remember to ping DFW early if we haven’t seen something mid-week; and again if they don’t provide it**
 - **Discuss this with Stafford.**
 - Discuss with Craig Martz (Regulatory stuff) (INVITE HIM to our Tuesday staff meetings.)
 - Non-regulatory (Stafford and Shuman)
- EP: Exhibits and SS s review that EP and EC did – they both found minor edits in SS s. They agreed on a consistent approach.
- Redactions –
 - Question: if DFW posts a report in full, without redactions, why are we still redacting?
 - DFW is failing to redact personal information before submitting documents to us.
 - Reports with information not redacted (e.g., executive session)
 - -MIKE? = Do we need to redact?
- Exhibits
 - Peer Reviews – can we cut out the track changes copies from individual reviewers? Is there a synopsis from the peer review? (Stafford).
 - Exhibits

FGC/DFW Debrief Notes: Day 2 items

- 16. Public Forum – flag the 3 petitions scheduled for action in Dec (2016-20, 2016-23, and 2016-024) that are available in the October binder (exhibits 2.1-2.4). Note we received two additional ones prior to the meeting that didn't get included. Both petitioners failed to cite the authority– I'm following up with both regarding that – assuming those won't be 'received' until December.
- 17-22. Consent – all items adopted; note DFW given 30-day extension on lupine review, candidacy hearing for leptosiphon in Dec, flat-tail horned lizard listing decision in Dec (will review status report when I get back), tarplant and townsend's findings adopted, coho report received.
- 23. WRC – FGC adopted WRC recommendation to move consideration of petition 2016-004 (bears/Modoc County) to future rulemaking once DFW study complete; staff authorized to move forward with prep of all four packages
- 28. Falconry – got direction from Commissions to address the two items from CA Hawking Club related to Goshawks and lottery in the WRC
- 29. Designated Wild Trout Policy – proposed amendments to policy approved
- 30. Bats – no follow up necessary
- 31. Non-Marine Items of Interest – no follow up necessary
- 32. Non-marine Petitions/Non-Reg requests – FGC adopted staff recommendations so petitions 2016-008 (ferrets), 2016-017 (hedgehogs), and 2016-019 (depredation) were all denied and no further action needed. Petition 2016-014 (falcon rehabilitation) was referred to WRC. Note for non-regulatory requests we'll need to schedule bullfrogs (live animal market) for Feb 2017 meeting and wolf for either Dec or Feb (timing dependent on release of plan).

LATE Comments: Super confusing. The second round of comments –

- Confusing
- Tight turn-around – check between accuracy and fitness.
- Comments in right places
 - Decision tree for late comments.
 - Filters – when they expire. (Jon)
- AGP – almost didn't make it. Back-up options are limited. Should we think of bringing the audio recorder as a back-up? Microphones?
- Conflict of Interest –
 - For our COI Form 700s next April, do we apply the former COI code or the new one?
 - Is the record sound since Mike said there had been no changes?
 - FGCers – electronic submission should be avoided. FGC office needs a copy.